Writer:	Reviewer:	
Part I: Read your partner's INTRODUCTION & Roadmap (if they have one). Answer the following questions:		
I.	Does the INTRO have a Hook ? What kind? How does the writer explain the meaning & significance of the Hook (using Stitching Transitions or Explanation) to introduce his or her Stance & Motive ?	
) What is the writer's Stance ? How can it be stronger?	
	C) What is the <i>Motive</i> ? Why does the argument matter?	
II.	Does the writer use the Lens Text (Jay) & Lens Keyterm (Ocularcentrism) to about managed vision? What is the CONNECTION (synthesis) between he or she is arguing about "managed vision" in their Thesis?	
III.	Does the Evolving <i>Thesis Assertion</i> make an "I SAY" claim about managed vision that is arguable & evaluative (i.e. someone can disagree)? Is it concrete enough? Is <i>Stance</i> strong in the Thesis?	
	Strongly Agree?	Somewhat Agree?
	THESIS:	
	Somewhat Disagree?	Strongly Disagree?
	B) How could the writer revise/refine the THESIS Assertion to make you mo	ore strongly agree?

IV. How can the writer make the *Thesis Assertion* STRONGER in STANCE? Better articulated? More focused? How can the writers revise other parts of the INTRO better make their ideas stronger, more focused or more complex?